

*Tammy L. Grimm
Court Executive Officer /
Jury Commissioner*

*Terri Darr
Court Financial Officer*



*Superior Court of California,
County of Imperial
1625 W. Main Street
El Centro, CA 92243
(760) 336-3522*

R1415-08 Case Management System

Questions & Answers

issued 06/09/2015

1. Is there a higher priority for certain department or case types?

Answer: No, the Court only asks to make sure all are included in the work plan. Upon award, the Court will work with the vendor to design the final implementation plan.

2. What is the Sustain version the Court is using?

Answer: Sustain version 1.26

3. What document manager is the Court using? Is it integrated currently with Sustain?

Answer: Court is using the latest version of Logical Doc. It is not integrated with Sustain.

4. Is the Court open to replace Logical Doc?

Answer: Plan is to keep and maintain Logical Doc. The Court has had it for about 1½ going on two years and is very pleased. We would like Logical Doc integrated into CMS.

5. Is there a specific business reason driving the 18 months implementation schedule? i.e., would the Court be open to a shorter implementation duration?

Answer: The Court would be happy to consider a shorter implementation schedule. There will be no reduction in scoring as long as the schedule is 18 months or less.

6. Can the Court please share the password to unprotect the Excel worksheets, specifically column E in Attachment 9 Business-Funct-Integration Requirements?

Answer: Except for the first three cells of column E, the rest of the column is already editable.

7. All courts have the option of using the CMS Master Agreement, is there a specific reason why the Court did not opt for that avenue versus issuing an RFP?

Answer: The MA work was done about three years ago and the Court is interested in seeing if new technology is available.

8. Would it be acceptable if we were to propose different cost models?

Answer: Yes.